

Stephanie Kost

From: Chrystal Johnson [Jaxjagz05@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 4:08 AM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Chrystal Johnson
4684 Geiger Road
Milton, Florida 32583

October 18, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Chrystal Johnson
8506267992

Stephanie Kost

From: Cindy Rose Coleman [crosecoleman@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Saturday, October 16, 2004 10:08 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Cindy Rose Coleman
4616 Crosshill Lane
Northport, AL 35473

October 16, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cindy Rose Coleman
205-339-8972

Stephanie Kost

From: Cindy Rose Coleman [crosecoleman@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Saturday, October 16, 2004 10:08 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Cindy Rose Coleman
4616 Crosshill Lane
Northport, AL 35473

October 16, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cindy Rose Coleman
205-339-8972

Stephanie Kost

From: Cindy Rose Coleman [crosecoleman@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Saturday, October 16, 2004 10:08 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Cindy Rose Coleman
4616 Crosshill Lane
Northport, AL 35473

October 16, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cindy Rose Coleman
205-339-8972

Stephanie Kost

From: Cindy Rose Coleman [crosecoleman@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Saturday, October 16, 2004 10:08 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Cindy Rose Coleman
4616 Crosshill Lane
Northport, AL 35473

October 16, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cindy Rose Coleman
205-339-8972

Stephanie Kost

From: clara davis [teaandco10@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 7:21 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

clara davis
204 smith ave
monroe, la 71203

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

clara davis
3183430048

Stephanie Kost

From: clara davis [teaandco10@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 7:21 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

clara davis
204 smith ave
monroe, la 71203

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

clara davis
3183430048

Stephanie Kost

From: clara davis [teaandco10@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 7:21 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

clara davis
204 smith ave
monroe, la 71203

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

clara davis
3183430048

Stephanie Kost

From: clara davis [teaandco10@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 7:21 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

clara davis
204 smith ave
monroe, la 71203

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

clara davis
3183430048

Stephanie Kost

From: Clara Moore [cam2003@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Sunday, October 17, 2004 8:03 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Clara Moore
Retired Administrative Computer Assistant/Secretary
1300 Roosevelt Ave. Apt. #406
Richmond, CA 94801

October 17, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Clara Moore
510-000-0000
Retired Administrative Computer Assistant/Secretary

Stephanie Kost

From: Clara Moore [cam2003@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Sunday, October 17, 2004 8:03 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Clara Moore
Retired Administrative Computer Assistant/Secretary
1300 Roosevelt Ave. Apt. #406
Richmond, CA 94801

October 17, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Clara Moore
510-000-0000
Retired Administrative Computer Assistant/Secretary

Stephanie Kost

From: Clara Moore [cam2003@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Sunday, October 17, 2004 8:03 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Clara Moore
Retired Administrative Computer Assistant/Secretary
1300 Roosevelt Ave. Apt. #406
Richmond, CA 94801

October 17, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Clara Moore
510-000-0000
Retired Administrative Computer Assistant/Secretary

Stephanie Kost

From: Clara Moore [cam2003@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Sunday, October 17, 2004 8:03 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Clara Moore
Retired Administrative Computer Assistant/Secretary
1300 Roosevelt Ave. Apt. #406
Richmond, CA 94801

October 17, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Clara Moore
510-000-0000
Retired Administrative Computer Assistant/Secretary

Stephanie Kost

From: Clinton& Brenda Crawley [buddykc3@clicksouth.net]
Sent: Saturday, October 16, 2004 4:14 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Clinton& Brenda Crawley
2318 Vaughn Road
Griffin, Georgia 30223

October 16, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Clinton & Brenda Crawley
770-229-5861

Stephanie Kost

From: Clinton& Brenda Crawley [buddykc3@clicksouth.net]
Sent: Saturday, October 16, 2004 4:14 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Clinton& Brenda Crawley
2318 Vaughn Road
Griffin, Georgia 30223

October 16, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Clinton & Brenda Crawley
770-229-5861

Stephanie Kost

From: Clinton& Brenda Crawley [buddykc3@clicksouth.net]
Sent: Saturday, October 16, 2004 4:14 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Clinton& Brenda Crawley
2318 Vaughn Road
Griffin, Georgia 30223

October 16, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Clinton & Brenda Crawley
770-229-5861

Stephanie Kost

From: Clinton& Brenda Crawley [buddykc3@clicksouth.net]
Sent: Saturday, October 16, 2004 4:14 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Clinton& Brenda Crawley
2318 Vaughn Road
Griffin, Georgia 30223

October 16, 2004

Kevin J Martin

,

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Clinton & Brenda Crawley
770-229-5861

Stephanie Kost

From: Clyda Stiles [rlsti@cox.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 6:54 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Clyda Stiles
1812 S Lynn Lane
Tulsa , OK 74108

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Clyda Stiles
(918)437-5885

Stephanie Kost

From: CMEGGS762@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, September 29, 2004 1:53 AM
To: Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: "A LA CARTE"

FYI,
THIS IS A COPY OF THE EMAIL I HAVE SENT TO MY CONGRESSMAN. PLEASE DON'T LET THIS PASS.

DEAR SIR:

I HAVE RECENTLY LEARNED OF THE "A LA CARTE" PROPOSAL AND AM WRITING IN HOPE THAT YOU WILL NOT SUPPORT THIS.

I WATCH 2 SHOWS WEEKLY WHILE OUT OF TOWN FOR WORK THAT WILL BE CUT OUT IF THIS PASSES. THESE SHOWS ARE VERY IMPORTANT TO ME AND HELP ME TO RECHARGE FROM THE STRESS OF WORK. I AM A NURSE AND MY PATIENTS ALSO WATCH THESE SHOWS AND THEY NEED THEM. IT GIVES THEM HOPE. THE HOSPITAL DOES NOT SUPPLY CABLE. PLEASE DON'T SUPPORT THIS.

THERE ARE ALSO THE PEOPLE IN JAIL TO CONSIDER. I DOUBT THEY GIVE THEM CABLE THERE AND THIS IS THE BEST PROGRAMMING THEY COULD POSSIBLY GET.

PLEASE DON'T LET THIS PASS.

THANK YOU, CHERYL AUTRY

Stephanie Kost

From: Colleen Durrett [teddy68@verizon.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 10:59 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Colleen Durrett
rt. 2 Box 216A
Beverly, WV 26253

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Colleen H.Durrett
304-637-4405

Stephanie Kost

From: Colleen Durrett [teddy68@verizon.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 10:59 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Colleen Durrett
rt. 2 Box 216A
Beverly, WV 26253

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Colleen H.Durrett
304-637-4405

Stephanie Kost

From: Colleen Durrett [teddy68@verizon.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 10:59 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Colleen Durrett
rt. 2 Box 216A
Beverly, WV 26253

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Colleen H.Durrett
304-637-4405

Stephanie Kost

From: Colleen O'Connor [colleen@lsu.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:04 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Colleen O'Connor
3019 Constance
New Orleans, LA 70115

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this because I understand this may limit the freedom of preaching of the Gospel of Jesus Christ to ALL people.

Sincerely,

Colleen O'Connor
504-390-0974

Stephanie Kost

From: Colleen O'Connor [colleen@lsu.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:04 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Colleen O'Connor
3019 Constance
New Orleans, LA 70115

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this because I understand this may limit the freedom of preaching of the Gospel of Jesus Christ to ALL people.

Sincerely,

Colleen O'Connor
504-390-0974

Stephanie Kost

From: Colleen O'Connor [colleen@lsu.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:04 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Colleen O'Connor
3019 Constance
New Orleans, LA 70115

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this because I understand this may limit the freedom of preaching of the Gospel of Jesus Christ to ALL people.

Sincerely,

Colleen O'Connor
504-390-0974

Stephanie Kost

From: Colleen O'Connor [colleen@lsu.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 8:04 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Colleen O'Connor
3019 Constance
New Orleans, LA 70115

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this because I understand this may limit the freedom of preaching of the Gospel of Jesus Christ to ALL people.

Sincerely,

Colleen O'Connor
504-390-0974

Stephanie Kost

From: Connie Taylor [Lord1727@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2004 1:49 AM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Connie Taylor
7465 E. Irwin Ave
Mesa, AZ 85208

October 20, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Connie Taylor

Stephanie Kost

From: Connie Taylor [Lord1727@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2004 1:49 AM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Connie Taylor
7465 E. Irwin Ave
Mesa, AZ 85208

October 20, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Connie Taylor

Stephanie Kost

From: Cristi Lorentz [lorentztroop@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 12:34 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Cristi Lorentz
5215 N Riley St
Las Vegas, NV 89149

October 18, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cristi A Lorentz
702-869-3725

Stephanie Kost

From: Cristi Lorentz [lorentztroop@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 12:34 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Cristi Lorentz
5215 N Riley St
Las Vegas, NV 89149

October 18, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Cristi A Lorentz
702-869-3725